# University of New Mexico Hypothesis Testing-2 (Fall 2017)

BIOM 505: Biostatistical Methods I (by Fares Qeadan)

Hypothesis Testing about two Population Proportions (two sample proportion z-test): [using sample statistics]

#### Assumptions of this test:

- We are sampling less than 10% of the total population in each group.
- The sample size is sufficiently large in each group such that  $n_1\hat{p}_1 \ge 10$ ,  $n_1(1-\hat{p}_1) \ge 10$  and  $n_2\hat{p}_2 \ge 10$  and  $n_2(1-\hat{p}_2) \ge 10$ .
- The two samples should be random.
- The two samples are independent.
- (1) Medical researchers monitoring two groups of physicians over a 6-year period found that, of 3429 doctors who took aspirin daily, 148 died from heart attack or stroke during this period. For 1710 doctors who received placebo instead of aspirin, 79 deaths were recorded. At the 0.01 level of significance, does this study indicate that taking aspirin is effective in reducing the likelihood of heart attack? Let  $p_1$  be the true population proportion of doctors who died while taking aspirin and  $p_2$  be the true population proportion of doctors who died while taking placebo.

- (a) The significance level  $\alpha$  is:
- (b) Give the claim as a mathematical statement:
- (c) The null and alternative hypotheses are:
- (d) The decision rule (about  $H_0$ ) is:

```
(e) Conduct the test using SAS:
   %MACRO propz2samp(n1,x1,n2,x2);
   data _null_;
     file print;
     n1=&n1; x1=&x1; n2=&n2; x2=&x2;
     hatp = (x1+x2)/(n1+n2);
     hatp1 = x1/n1; hatp2 = x2/n2;
     Z2s = (hatp1 - hatp2) / sqrt(hatp*(1-hatp)*(1/n1 + 1/n2));
     ptwosided = 2*(1 - probnorm(abs(Z2s)));
     prightsided = 1 - probnorm(Z2s);
     pleftsided = probnorm(Z2s);
     L=hatp1 - hatp2-probit(0.975)*sqrt(hatp*(1-hatp)*(1/n1 + 1/n2));
     U=hatp1 - hatp2+probit(0.975)*sqrt(hatp*(1-hatp)*(1/n1 + 1/n2));
     put '==== Test for two sample proportions =====';
     put 'n1 = ' n1 ' x1 = ' x1 ' p1hat=' hatp1;
     put 'n2 = ' n2 ' x2 = ' x2 ' p2hat=' hatp2;
     put 'Pr > |Z|: ' ptwosided pvalue.;
     put 'Pr > Z: ' prightsided pvalue.;
     put 'Pr < Z: ' pleftsided pvalue.;</pre>
     put "95% C.I.: " "(" L " - " U ")";
   run;
   %MEND propz2samp;
   %propz2samp(3429,148,1710,79);
   ==== Test for two sample proportions =====
   n2 = 1710 \quad x2 = 79
                       p2hat=0.0461988304
   Pr > |Z|: 0.6175
   Pr > Z: 0.6912
   Pr < Z: 0.3088
   95% C.I.: (-0.014960107 - 0.0088849894)
(f) Decision:
```

#### (g) Conclusion:

## Hypothesis Testing about two Population Proportions [using sample data]

2)	Consider the Diabetes and obesity, cardiovascular risk factors data set we have used in Lal 1 (link: http://www.mathalpha.com/lab1/diabetesfall17.sas7bdat) to test whether the rate o diabetes among African American females is different than that of males in Virginia?
	(a) The significance level $\alpha$ is:
	(b) Give the claim as a mathematical statement:
	(c) The null and alternative hypotheses are:
	(d) The decision rule (about $H_0$ ) is:

### (e) Conduct the test using SAS:

4

proc freq data=biom505.diabetesfall17;
table gender\*diab/riskdiff(equal var=null cl=wald);
run;

	Pr	Proportion (Risk) Difference Test					
	Н	H0: P1 - P2 = 0 Wald I				d	
	Pre	Proportion Difference				14	
	AS	ASE (H0)			0.03	71	
	Z				0.30	67	
	On	One-sided Pr > Z			0.37	95	
	Tw	Two-sided Pr >  Z			0.75	91	
		Column 1 (diab =			.)		
		Colum	n 2 Risk Es	tim	ates		
	Risk	Colum	n 2 Risk Es (Asympto Confidence	otic)	95%	(Exac Confiden	
Row 1	Risk 0.1491	ASE	(Asympto	otic) ce L	95%		ce Limits
Row 1 Row 2		ASE 0.0236	(Asympto Confidence 0.1029	otic) ce L	95% imits	Confiden	0.2021
	0.1491	ASE 0.0236 0.0288	(Asympto Confidence 0.1029 0.1040	otic) ce L 0	95% imits	O.1055	0.2021 0.2263
Row 2	0.1491 0.1605 0.1538	ASE 0.0236 0.0288 0.0183	(Asympto Confidence 0.1029 0.1040 0.1180	otic) ce L 0 0	95% imits .1954	0.1055 0.1076	

(f) <u>Decision:</u>

(g) Conclusion:

# Hypothesis testing about the means of two independent populations (assume unknown but equal population variances) [using samples statistics] Two Independent Samples T-test

#### Assumptions of this test:

- The populations from which the samples have been drawn should be normal.
- The variance of the populations should be unknown but equal i.e.  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \sigma^2$ , where  $\sigma^2$  is unknown. This assumption can be tested formally by the F-test.
- Samples have to be randomly drawn independent of each other. There is however no requirement that the two samples should be of equal size.
- (3) An experiment is conducted to determine whether intensive tutoring (covering a great deal of material in a fixed amount of time) is more effective than paced tutoring (covering less material in the same amount of time) among students with special needs. Two randomly chosen groups of students with special needs are tutored separately and then administered proficiency tests. Based on the following results of the two random samples, use a significance level of 1% to verify whether intensive tutoring is more effective than paced tutoring among students with special needs? Assume that the two groups have unknown but equal variances and the proficiency scores are normal in both groups.

	n	$\bar{x}$	$s_x$
Intensive	12	46.31	6.44
Paced	10	36.79	4.52

- (a) The significance level  $\alpha$  is:
- (b) Give the claim as a mathematical statement:
- (c) The null and alternative hypothesis are:

(d) The decision rule (about  $H_0$ ) is:

#### (e) Conduct the test using SAS:

```
%MACRO ttest2samp(n1,xbar1,s1,n2,xbar2,s2);
data _null_;
file print;
n1 = &n1; xbar1 = &xbar1; s1 = &s1; n2 = &n2; xbar2 = &xbar2; s2 = &s2;
v1=s1**2; v2=s2**2;
f=max(of v1, v2)/min(of v1, v2);
df1=n1-1;
df2=n2-1;
dfmax=max(of df1, df2);
dfmin=min(of df1, df2);
f_p=2*(1-probf(f, dfmax, dfmin));
v_{pool}=((n1-1)*v1+(n2-1)*v2)/(n1+n2-2);
t_uneq=(xbar1-xbar2)/sqrt(v1/n1+v2/n2);
t_eq=(xbar1-xbar2)/sqrt(v_pool*(1/n1+1/n2));
df_{uneq}=(v1/n1+v2/n2)**2/((v1/n1)**2/(n1-1)+(v2/n2)**2/(n2-1));
df_eq=n1+n2-2;
t_p_uneq=2*(1-probt(abs(t_uneq), df_uneq));
prightsided_uneq = 1-probt(t_uneq, df_uneq);
pleftsided_uneq = probt(t_uneq, df_uneq);
t_p_{eq}=2*(1-probt(abs(t_eq), df_eq));
prightsided_eq = 1-probt(t_eq, df_eq);
pleftsided_eq = probt(t_eq, df_eq);
put "Group
              n Mean Std. Dev.";
put "----";
             ' n1 ' ' xbar1 ' ' s1;
' n2 ' ' xbar2 ' ' s2;
put '1
                                         , s2;
put '2
put; put;
                                                                 Prob> T
put "Variance
                                DF
                                                 Prob> |T|
                                                                                Prob< T ";
put 'Unequal ' t_uneq ' ' df_uneq ' ' t_p_uneq ' ' prightsided_uneq ' ' pleftsided_uneq;
put 'Equal ' t_eq ' ' df_eq ' ' t_p_eq ' ' prightsided_eq ' ' pleftsided_eq;
put;
put "For HO: Variances are equal, F=" f+5 'DF=(' dfmax+(-1)',' dfmin+(-1)')' +5 'Prob>F''=' f_p;
%MEND ttest2samp;
%ttest2samp(12,46.31,6.44,10,36.79,4.52);
```

Group	n	Mean	Std. Dev.	
1	12	46.31	6.44	
2	10	36.79	4.52	

Variance	Т	DF	Prob>  T	Prob> T	Prob< T
Unequal	4.0596467395	19.514350657	0.00063833	0.000319165	0.999680835
Equal	3.9301851878	20	0.0008282412	0.0004141206	0.9995858794

For HO: Variances are equal, F = 2.029994518 DF = (11,9) Prob>F=0.2973407205

- (f) Decision:
- (g) Conclusion:

Hypothesis testing about the means of two independent populations, (assume unknown and unequal population variances) [using samples statistics] Two Independent Samples T-test

(4) An experiment is conducted to determine whether intensive tutoring (covering a great deal of material in a fixed amount of time) is more effective than paced tutoring (covering less material in the same amount of time) among students with special needs. Two randomly chosen groups of students with special needs are tutored separately and then administered proficiency tests. Based on the following results of the two random samples, use a significance level of 1% to verify whether intensive tutoring is more effective than paced tutoring among students with special needs? Assume that the two groups have unknown and unequal variances and the proficiency scores are normal in both groups.

	n	$\bar{x}$	$s_x$
Intensive	12	46.31	6.44
Paced	10	36.79	4.52

- (a) The significance level  $\alpha$  is:
- (b) Give the claim as a mathematical statement:
- (c) The null and alternative hypothesis are:
- (d) The decision rule (about  $H_0$ ) is:

#### (e) Conduct the test using SAS:

%ttest2samp(12,46.31,6.44,10,36.79,4.52);

Group	n	Mean	Std. Dev.
1	12	46.31	6.44
2	10	36.79	4.52

Variance	Т	DF	Prob>  T	Prob> T	Prob< T
Unequal	4.0596467395	19.514350657	0.00063833	0.000319165	0.999680835
Equal	3.9301851878	20	0.0008282412	0.0004141206	0.9995858794

For HO: Variances are equal, F = 2.029994518 DF = (11,9) Prob>F=0.2973407205

#### (f) Decision:

#### (g) Conclusion:

## Hypothesis testing about the means of two independent populations:

[using samples data] Two Independent Samples T-test

(5)	Consider the Diabetes and obesity, cardiovascular risk factors data set we have used in Lab
	1 (link: http://www.mathalpha.com/lab1/diabetesfall17.sas7bdat) to test whether the true
	cholesterol mean is higher among diabetic African Americans in Virginia when compared to
	the non-diabetic ones?

- (a) The significance level  $\alpha$  is:
- (b) Give the claim as a mathematical statement:
- (c) The null and alternative hypotheses are:
- (d) The decision rule (about  $H_0$ ) is:

(e) Conduct the test using SAS:

proc ttest data=biom505.diabetesfall17 alpha=0.05;
class diab;
var chol;
run;

329 60	203.4 228.6	41.135	1 22					
60	228.6		2.2	678	78.0000	347.0	0	
		56.525	1 7.2	974	115.0	443.0	0	
	-25.2140	43.831	6.1	531				
Meth	od	Mea	Mean 95% CL Mea		L Mean	Std Dev	95% CL	Std Dev
		203	.4	198.9	207.8	41.1350	38.2137	44.543
		228	.6	214.0	243.2	56.5251	47.9126	68.941
Pool	ed	-25.214	0 -37	.3116	-13.1164	43.8318	40.9495	47.154
Satte	erthwaite	-25.214	0 -40	.4516	-9.9763	3		
	Variance	es E	F t V	alue	Pr >  t			
	Equal	38	37	-4.10	<.0001			
Satterthwaite Unequal		70.82	28	-3.30	0.0015			
Eq	uality of \	/arianc	es					
	Satte aite Eq	Variance Equal aite Unequal	228.  Pooled -25.214  Satterthwaite -25.214  Variances D  Equal 38  aite Unequal 70.82  Equality of Variance	228.6	228.6   214.0	228.6   214.0   243.2	228.6   214.0   243.2   56.5251	228.6   214.0   243.2   56.5251   47.9126

- (f) <u>Decision:</u>
- (g) Conclusion:

# Hypothesis testing about the means of two dependent populations [using sample data] Paired T-test

#### Assumptions of this test:

- The population of differences is normally distributed.
- The pairs are independent.
- The sample of pairs is a random sample from its population.
- (6) Consider the following data. These data give the systolic and diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) for 15 patients with moderate essential hypertension, immediately before and two hours after taking a drug, captopril. The interest is in investigating the response to the drug treatment. The data is taken from Cox and Snell (1981) [applied statistics, London: Chapman and Hall]

```
data BP;
input sbefore safter sdif dbefore dafter ddif;
CARDS;
210 201 -9 130 125 -5
169 165 -4 122 121 -1
187 166 -21 124 121 -3
160 157 -3 104 106 2
167 147 -20 112 101 -11
176 145 -31 101 85 -16
185 168 -17 121 98 -23
206 180 -26 124 105 -19
173 147 -26 115 103 -12
146 136 -10 102 98 -4
174 151 -23 98 90 -8
201 168 -33 119 98 -21
198 179 -19 106 110 4
148 129 -19 107 103 -4
154 131 -23 100 82 -18
RUN;
```

(a) The significance level  $\alpha$  is:

(b)	Give the claim as a mathematical statement:
(c)	The null and alternative hypotheses are:
(d)	The decision rule (about $H_0$ ) is:
(e)	Conduct the test using SAS:
	PROC TTEST DATA=BP;  PAIRED sbefore*safter;  RUN;  PROC TTEST DATA=BP;  VAR SDIF;  RUN;
(f)	PROC TTEST DATA=BP; PAIRED dbefore*dafter; RUN; PROC TTEST DATA=BP; VAR DDIF; RUN; Decision:
(-)	
(g)	Conclusion:
(h)	State the error you might have made in the decision above and identify it as Type I or Type II?